

9.2 DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK

Author: *Pushpa Gunawardhana - Capital Works Coordinator*

File No: *CR17/540*

Attachments: *1. Upgrade Options Report*

SUMMARY

This report summarises the preliminary investigations undertaken into upgrade options for the existing ford located over the Whiteheads Creek on Delatite Road in Seymour.

These preliminary investigations include a hydraulic assessment of three (3) upgrade options along with preliminary costings to assist Council in deciding what design standard to adopt for a future upgrade of the existing ford.

The report also makes a recommendation on the most favourable option based on the assessment results.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council:

1. Adopt a 1 in 5-year flood design standard as the preferred design standard for a future upgrade of the Delatite Road crossing of the Whiteheads Creek.
2. Refers the detailed design of a new culvert structure over the Whiteheads Creek to the 2020-2021 Capital Works budget process for funding consideration.
3. Subject to the completion of a detailed design, refers the upgrade of the Delatite Road ford over the Whiteheads Creek to the 2021-2022 Capital Works budget process for funding consideration.

BACKGROUND

As part of its 2017-2018 Capital Works program, Council allocated \$30,000 towards the investigation of options to improve road safety at the existing Delatite Road ford crossing of the Whiteheads Creek.

This investigation included:

- Preparation of various bridge concepts with a view of comparing Low, Medium and High 'Level of Service' options
- Carry out a detailed hydraulic analysis of the floodway to determine the extent to which each structural option would impact on flood flows in the waterway.
- Preparation of high-level cost estimation for each option

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK (CONT.)

The above tasks were completed by Cardno Australia in consultation with Council officers and the Goulburn Broken Catchment Management Authority (GBCMA).

A report has been prepared detailing the outcomes of the assessment which is attached to this report as Attachment 1.

ISSUES AND DISCUSSION

Bridge Options

Three concept bridge options have been developed to accommodate the 1:5-year, 1:20-year and 1:100-year flood extents in the Whiteheads Creek. Table 1 below summarises the key design parameters for each bridge upgrade concept.

Table 1 – Bridge upgrade summary

	Existing (1 in 3 Months)	1:5-year design	1:20-year design	1:100-year design
Flood Level	-	140.85m AHD	141.42m AHD	142.00m AHD
Existing Road Level	139.20m AHD	139.20m AHD	139.20m AHD	139.20m AHD
Proposed Road Level	-	141.00m AHD	141.70m AHD	143.00m AHD
New road height above existing road level	-	+1.8m	+2.5m	+3.8m
Structure Only length (Exc. Road)	10m	9.0m	72m	138m
Total extent of construction		56m	200m	255m
Structure type	Ford / Pipes	Box culverts	Box culverts	Short Span Bridge/ Box culverts

Hydraulic Assessment

In order to demonstrate that there will be no adverse impacts on waterway health, each of the bridge/culvert options have been modelled using flood modelling software.

In order to satisfy the above requirement, it is essential to determine the following;

- Upstream and downstream flood levels are not adversely impacted due to the presence of the structure in the waterway;
- Flow velocities at the structure (either above and/or below) and immediately upstream and downstream of the structure remain within acceptable limits.

The investigation has utilised the flood mapping prepared as part of the Whiteheads Creek Flood Mapping Study. Each individual structure has been integrated into the

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK (CONT.)

flood model and the above parameters are measured for 'before and after' scenarios using the 1:100-year flood event as the base case.

The investigation revealed that all options considered above do not significantly change the existing flood behavior in the flood plain and are considered to be within acceptable range to GBCMA.

Table 2 below summarises changes to 1:100-year flooding characteristics measured for each of the bridge/culvert structures modelled as part of this study.

Table 2 – Hydraulic Assessment Summary for a 100-year flood event

<p>1:5-year structure (9.0m structure)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structure is slightly higher than approaches (i.e. 300mm) however, the road is passable in 1:5 – year event. • Road surface overtopped by 1.2m during a 100-year flood event at the Northern approach • Road surface overtopped by 1.0m during a 100-year flood event at waterway crossing • No discernable alterations to flood levels or flow velocity characteristics during 1:100-year flood event
<p>1:20-year structure (72.0m structure)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road surface overtopped by 370mm during 100-year flood event • Increase to upstream flood levels by up to 50mm up to a distance of 280m from the structure; • Upstream flood levels near the structure can increase above 100 mm, however, the extent of increase is relatively small. • Downstream flood levels remain relatively the same • Flow velocities has not been significantly changed
<p>1:100-year structure (138.0m structure)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No discernable alterations to flood flow characteristics

Comparative Analysis

Part 4 of the options assessment report contains a high-level comparative assessment of each of the three bridge/culvert upgrade options. Four key criteria have been assessed including;

- Improvements to Road Safety - 40%
- Lifecycle Costs - 25%
- Levels of Service - 20%
- Environmental Impacts - 15%

Table 5 of the options assessment report summarises the results of the comparative assessment. These results are replicated in Table 3 below.

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK (CONT.)

Table 3 – Comparison of bridge/culvert upgrade options.

Option	Health and Safety	Lifecycle Cost	Level of service	Environmental	Combined Weighted Score (High to Low)	Estimated Cost*
	40%	25%	20%	15%		
1:5 ARI : Minimum Structure	7	9	6	5	7.00	\$930k
	2.8	2.25	1.2	0.75		
1:100 ARI : High Set Structure	10	1	10	4	6.85	\$7.5m
	4	0.25	2	0.6		
No Action	6	10	3	7	6.55	-
	2.4	2.5	0.6	1.05		
1:20 ARI : Low Set Structure	9	2	8	2	6.00	\$3.9m
	3.6	0.5	1.6	0.3		

*Inclusive of Project Management and 30% Contingency

ARI – Average Recurrence Interval

Implementation of a 1:100-year structure

By contemporary standards, bridge designs that accommodate the 1:100-year flooding extents are typically considered to be the most desirable outcome.

The implementation of a bridge structure above the 1:100-year flood level will significantly reduce road safety risks at this location and reduce delays to traffic during road closures in times of flood.

While the 1:100 structure provides highest level of service, the size of the infrastructure and the capital investment required to achieve this level of service appears disproportionate to the benefits of the project for the foreseeable future.

In consideration that any residual road safety risks associated with a low set structure are considered to be appropriately managed through the ongoing operation of the existing flood warning system, the additional net benefits to road safety associated with a 1:100-year high-set structure are considered to be somewhat diminished when compared to the road safety improvements achieved through the implementation of a low-set structure and the ongoing operation of the existing flood warning system.

Further, the current and forecast traffic volumes using Delatite Road are not considered to be high enough to warrant investment of this scale to mitigate any delays to traffic experienced during larger less frequent flooding events.

This location is a significant natural flood plain where flood nuisance is expected under high rainfall events. The duration of flood is not excessive under normal circumstance and there are a multiple detour options which are available to road users when the flood water has reached the road level.

The implementation of a 1:100-year structure at this location will also require a substantial footprint (over 255m in length) resulting in the loss of a large amount of

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK (CONT.)

roadside vegetation. Delatite Road is identified as a Significant Roadside Area. This is considered to further diminish the net benefits of a 1:100-year bridge structure.

The assessment has ranked this option as the second preferred option and this result appears reasonable due to above reasons.

Implementation of a Low-Set Structure (below the 1:100-year flood level)

1:5-year structure has been ranked as number 1 of all options. Its benefits appear relatively high when compared with its low capital investment. It also proposes a significant improvement to the current level of service.

Any decision to proceed with a bridge/culvert upgrade at this location that is placed below the 1:100-year flood limits should be made with a complete appreciation for both the (a) residual risks to public safety and (b) the physical risks to the structure that are present during any flood that exceeds the design level of the structure.

a) Public Safety

Structures designed to satisfy either a 1:20-year or 1:5-year flood level will inevitably result in a net improvement to public safety compared to the existing conditions.

With the existing flood warning system and electronic warning signage to remain in place for either of these low-set structure options, the increased level of the roadway will increase the available time for emergency response agencies to attend to the site and deploy appropriate exclusion measures (road closure) to prevent public access to the inundated section of the roadway.

Further, the existing flood warning system also has additional capability to be linked to other physical measures which can prevent public access to inundated sections of roadway such as automatic gates. Such systems would be useful in the event emergency agencies cannot attend the site during a flood emergency.

Notwithstanding the above, should a low-set structure be implemented at this site, it will be critical to ensure the wider public have a full appreciation for the residual risks that will continue to exist at the site. Delatite Road will still be prone to flood inundation and as such an appropriate community education campaign should complement any planning, design, implementation and promotion of a new low-set bridge or culvert structure on Delatite Road over the Whiteheads Creek.

b) Physical risks to low set structures

The construction of a new bridge or culvert structure below the 1:100-year flood level will expose the structure to potential damage during any flood that overtops the design road surface level of the structure.

Some typical examples of damage include;

- Debris build up;
- Damage to barrier rails and guard rail;

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK (CONT.)

- Scour of the road surface and downstream faces of road embankments;
- Dislodgement of bridge decking;
- Dislodgement of culvert sections;
- Catastrophic loss of the entire structure.

A 1:20-year bridge or culvert structure has a 5% chance of being overtopped in any given year. Similarly, 1:5-year bridge or culvert structure has a 20% chance of being overtopped by flood flows in any given year.

Whilst appropriate design and build quality can serve to mitigate these physical risks, full mitigation of the risks is not possible. These risks will need to be accepted and managed appropriately should a low-set structure be implemented at this location.

CONSULTATION

The Goulburn Broken Catchment Management Authority (GBCMA) have been consulted during the preparation of this report. The GBCMA have given their endorsement to the hydraulic analysis methodology used in the assessment of the bridge/culvert upgrade options.

GBCMA have indicated 'in principle' support to the bridge/culvert upgrade options featured in this study subject to the final design form of the structure.

In order to implement any bridge/culvert upgrade over the Whiteheads Creek, a 'Works on Waterway' permit is required to be issued by the GBCMA. Granting of this permit will be subject to a detailed hydraulic analysis on the final design form of the preferred upgrade option and the preparation of a Site Environmental Management Plan.

With this in mind, the GBCMA's primary assessment criteria for any permitted works on the waterway will focus on;

- The impacts on waterway health associated with the new structure;
- The impacts on upstream and downstream flood levels and flow velocities; and,
- An assessment of the safety of the public using any structure set below the 1:100-year flood level.

With specific regard to the latter, the GBCMA have indicated that they would likely request physical barriers (boom gates) be implemented as part of any future upgrade of the existing ford should Council pursue a low-set bridge/culvert structure below the 100-year flood level. This additional requirement is estimated to add a further \$50,000 to any upgrade costs and would be assessed as part of the detailed design phase of this project to satisfy the GBCMA 'Works over the Waterway' permit process.

FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND ASSET MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Preliminary costs estimates have been prepared in order to inform discussion on the feasibility of each bridge culvert upgrade. These costings are based on preliminary estimates of quantities. No detailed design or detailed quantity survey has been undertaken. In order to inform a future budget bid to the capital works program, a more detailed investigation of the desired bridge/culvert upgrade is recommended.

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK (CONT.)

These costings have been summarised in Table 3 below and have also been represented graphically in Figure 1 below. Note that contingency has been added to all cost estimates due to the preliminary nature of the estimates.

Table 3 – Summary of preliminary cost estimates.

1:100-year structure	\$7,472,648 (Inc. 20% CT)
1:20-year structure	\$3,890,863 (Inc. 20% CT)
1:5-year structure	\$928,000 (Inc. 30% CT) Note: due to relatively low project costs, a higher contingency amount has been allocated.




Figure 1 – Distribution of preliminary cost estimates.

POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Future works will be completed based on a detailed design which is developed on appropriate codes and standards.

RISK IMPLICATIONS

Risk Ranking is determined using [ROHS201-G1- Corporate Risk Matrix](#). Risk is identified as Low, Medium, High or Very High.

Risk	Risk Ranking	Proposed Treatments	Within Existing Resources?
Reputational Risks due to unexpected flood behavior due to the structure	Low	Proper flood modeling has been completed, GBCMA has been consulted	Yes

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK (CONT.)

Risk	Risk Ranking	Proposed Treatments	Within Existing Resources?
Financial Risks due to approval of the recommendations	Medium	Proposed bridge project to be prioritized as part of the annual capital program	Yes

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS (SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL)

If construction works were to be undertaken in the future, necessary environmental impact studies will be completed before commencement of the project.

CHARTER OF HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

The rights protected in the *Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006* were considered in preparing this report and it's determined that the subject matter does not raise any human rights issues.

CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

OFFICER DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No officers involved in the preparation of this report have any direct or indirect interest in this matter.

CONCLUSION

Council engaged Cardno Australia to assess multiple upgrade options for the Delatite Road crossing of the Whiteheads Creek. The assessment included the preparation of three bridge concepts for a range of design flood events including the 1:5-year, 1:20-year and 1:100-year flood events of the Whiteheads Creek, along with detailed hydraulic analysis of these options and the preparation of preliminary cost estimates.

The above three options including "do nothing" approach were compared using a range of criteria to determine a ranking for the least to most favorable option.

The 1 in 5-year flood structure (Minimum Structure) has been ranked as the most favorable option to improve road safety at this location. Any upgrade at this location will also provide improved facilities for pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders.

MITCHELL SHIRE COUNCIL

Council Meeting Attachment

DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE

20 MAY 2019

9.2

DELATITE ROAD, SEYMOUR - BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS AT WHITEHEADS CREEK

**Attachment No: 1
Upgrade Options Report**

Upgrade Options Assessment

Delatite Road at Whiteheads Creek

V180361



Prepared for
Mitchell Shire Council
7 May 2019





Document Information

Prepared for	Mitchell Shire Council
Project Name	Delatite Road at Whiteheads Creek
File Reference	V180361 - Report01 V02.docx
Job Reference	V180361
Date	7 May 2019

Contact Information

Cardno Victoria Pty Ltd
Trading as Cardno
 ABN 47 106 610 913

Level 4
 501 Swanston Street
 Melbourne
 Victoria 3000 Australia

Telephone: (03) 8415 7777
 Facsimile: (03) 8415 7788
 International: +61 3 8415 7777

victoria@cardno.com.au
 www.cardno.com

Document Control

Version	Date	Author	Author Initials	Reviewer	Reviewer Initials
D01	31/07/18	Anthony Dean	AD	Tom Hennessy	TPH
D02	7/05/19	Tom Hennessy	TPH	John Rouvalis	JR
D03	23/10/18	Chris Moritis	CM	John Rouvalis	JR
V01	18/04/19	Ed Henty	EH	Rob Swan	RCS
V02	30/04/19	Ed Henty	EH	Rob Swan	RCS
V02.1		Minor Amendments by MSC			

© Cardno. Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Cardno and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Cardno.

This document is produced by Cardno solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Cardno does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.



Table of Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Existing Conditions & Flood Model Data	4
1.1.1	Delatite Road	4
1.1.2	Flood Warning System	4
1.1.3	Flood Model Data	5
1.2	Limitations of Design	6
2	Upgrade Options Assessment	7
2.1	Scope	7
2.2	Assessment Criteria	7
3	Discussion of Options	8
3.1	Option 1 – No Action Approach (No action beyond the warning system)	8
3.2	Option 2 – Structural Solution	9
3.2.1	Structural Scheme and Design Philosophy	9
3.2.2	Option 2A – 1:5 AEP Design Flood Level	9
3.2.3	Option 2B – 1:20 AEP Design Flood Level	10
3.2.4	Option 2C – 1:100 ARI Design Flood Level	11
4	Comparison of Options	13

Appendices

- Appendix A** Flood modelling information
Appendix B Concept design drawings for crossings
Appendix C Cost estimates for crossings



1 Introduction

Cardno were engaged by Mitchell Shire Council to undertake upgrade options assessment for various options to mitigate the risks associated with the Whiteheads Creek Crossing along Delatite Road in Seymour which is currently susceptible to inundation during flood events.

1.1 Existing Conditions & Flood Model Data

1.1.1 Delatite Road

Delatite Road is a local road orientated in a north-south alignment comprising a single lane in each direction. In the vicinity of Whiteheads Creek, Delatite Road narrows to a single shared two-way lane crossing over the Creek as shown in Figure 1-1 **Error! Reference source not found.** Based on available LIDAR survey information the level of the existing crossing is estimated to be at approximately 139.2 m AHD.

On each approach to the crossing, signage and water level markers are installed to warn motorists of the hazard presented by flood water. See Figure 1-2.



Figure 1-1 Delatite Road – Whiteheads Creek Crossing

1.1.2 Flood Warning System

In May 2018 a floodwater monitoring station was installed on the Whiteheads Creek immediately upstream of the Delatite Road ford. This monitoring system consists of;

- > Water height measuring device / float switch
- > Control Box

This monitoring station is remotely linked to road warning signage fitted with flashing lights and an LED display which reads 'Closed'. In its 'de-activated state this roadway signage reads 'Road Subject to Flooding' 'Indicators Show Depth' 'Delatite Road'. The LED display is located directly below the 'Delatite Road' text and illuminates to complete the statement 'Delatite Road CLOSED'.



1.1.2.1 System Operation

When floodwaters in Whiteheads Creek reach predetermined levels the system performs two operations concurrently;

- > Transmits a radio signal to roadway signage to activate flashing lights and LED 'Road Closed' display.
- > Sends SMS alerts to key contacts at Mitchell Shire Council, SES and Seymour Police. SMS alerts detail the flood water levels that are being recorded in the Whiteheads Creek.

This system is designed to improve Council's emergency response for the deployment of road closure signage in the event of a flood in the Whiteheads Creek. The system is operated and maintained by Mitchell Shire Council.



Figure 1-2 Flood Warning Sign

1.1.3 Flood Model Data

As part of a previous study, Cardno were engaged by Mitchell Shire Council to undertake a flood mapping study of Whiteheads Creek to identify the risk of flooding throughout the catchment area and connecting rivers and creeks within the Seymour area.

Using the flood modelling data from this study, the 1:5, 1:20 and 1:100 Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) flood levels of Whiteheads Creek in the vicinity of Delatite Road were estimated to be 140.85m AHD, 141.42m AHD and 142.00m AHD respectively. The flood mapping for each of these events is enclosed within Appendix A of this report.